

1 D. GEORGE SWEIGERT, C/O
2 GENERAL DELIVERY
3 MOUNT SHASTA, CALIF 96067

4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

6 D. GEORGE SWEIGERT
7 Plaintiff,

8 vs.

9 JASON GOODMAN

10 Defendant

Case No.: 2:18-cv-01633-RMG-BM

PLAINTIFF'S SECOND DECLARATION TO
SUPPORT PRACIPE TO RE-ISSUE SUMMONS

11 **SECOND DECLARATION OF PLAINTIFF**

12
13 NOW COMES THE PRO SE plaintiff, a layman non-attorney standing in the shoes of the private attorney
14 doctrine with this public interest lawsuit, to submit this **DECLARATION in SUPPORT OF PRACIPE TO RE-**
15 **ISSUE SUMMONS** regarding events related to the failure of a third party paperwork facilitator to obtain a court-
16 issued summons. This declaration is submitted to support the request for a re-issued court summons.

17
18 The below facts are hereby attested to be true and the undersigned swears that the following statements are
19 made under the penalties of perjury.

- 20
- I relied upon a non-attorney layman who is a resident of South Carolina to file the initial lawsuit paperwork
21 and documents with the Clerk of the U.S.D.C. serving the Columbia area.
 - The person relied upon by me personally visited the U.S.D.C. serving the Columbia area and docketed this
22 instant lawsuit.
 - This third party states and is convinced that the Clerk of the Court did not return a stamped Court-issued
23 summons and a stamped copy of the complaint to be provided to the defendant.
- 24
25
26

- The third-party person relied upon requested copies of the Court-issued summons and complaint and was allegedly told by the Clerk on-duty that these documents had to be sent to Charleston for official docketing and stamping by the Clerk in Charleston.
- Diligent inquires have been made to locate these documents and those inquiries have proven to be unsuccessful.

I hereby attest, under penalties of perjury, that the above statements are true to the best of my memory and understanding.

Dated this day of August 24, 2018


D. GEORGE SWEIGERT